

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/717,214 | ARGUELLES, JAVIER | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mary Kate B. Baran | 2857 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Mary Kate B. Baran.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul Kempf.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2006

Time: 4:05 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney confirmed that no reply has been sent.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MARC S. HOFF
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)